



The **SENTRY**

Public Lands for the People Inc. Est. 1990

June 16, 2011 Raffle Prizes - \$8440 Total in Prizes

1. RP - 4 Concentrating Table \$2200 Donated by: Global Mining Solutions
2. Keene 151 Vibrostatic Drywasher \$1500 Donated by: Keene Engineering
3. 1 Oz. Placer Gold \$1400 Donated by: Roger Plata
4. Fisher Metal Detector \$800 Donated by: Fisher Labs
5. 20 - 1 oz Englehardt silver rounds \$600 Donated by: AAA Precious Metals, Portland OR
6. Keene Super Concentrator \$400 Donated by: Keene Engineering
7. 14 K Lapis Lazuli Pendant \$400 Donated by: Steve Wandt, Naturalgoldjewelry.com
8. Diamond Ring \$250 Donated by: Anonymous
9. 1 Year Membership Golden Caribou Mining \$250 Donated by: Gordon and Barry Burton
10. 1 Year Membership Golden Caribou Mining \$250 Donated by: Gordon and Barry Burton
11. Sunray XL-1 Detector Probe \$190 Donated by: Armadillo Mining Shop
12. Keene A-51(a) Sluice Box \$100 Donated by: Phil Berkardt
13. Copper Ore Book Ends \$100

Order Raffle Tickets on line by Credit Card (plp1.org) \$1.00 each or a book of 12 for \$10.00

Order by Email, jerhobbs2@verizon.net

Order by Telephone Message 909-889-3039, leave call back number, address, and how many tickets wanted.

Order By Mail Public Lands for the People c/o Jerry Hobbs 7184 Conejo Dr. San Bernardino, Ca. 92404

Prez Sez As most people know, Public Lands For The People Inc, (PLP) had filed a state lawsuit in San Bernardino County against SB 670, the law that put a moratorium against suction dredge mining in the State of California Waterways. There were motions to have the SB 670 case sent to Oakland as a related case going before Judge Roesche's court, the same court that prohibited the CA. Department of Fish and Game (CDF&G) from issuing suction dredge permits. December 30, 2010 there was a hearing before a 3rd Judge to determine whether the PLP's state case against SB 670 would be heard in Oakland as a related case or in San Bernardino to stand-alone. The Karuk Tribe stayed somewhat neutral but the CDF&G was adamant that SB 670 was related to the Injunction prohibiting suction dredging permits and that the SB 670 case should be argued before Judge Roesche. The independent Judge found that the two cases were not related and that PLP's SB 670 case could be heard in the San Bernardino court. The Attorney General representing the CDF&G was not a happy camper and has assured PLP that we have not heard the end of this situation and he would be filing motions, among them a motion for reconsideration and filing a demure in an attempt to get the case dismissed. PLP will continue to fight against SB 670 and other issues that come before the mining community and PLP. We feel that without putting up a fight all we can accomplish is to lose the rights that are extended to the miners through the mining laws of 1866, 1870 and 1872.

Thanks for all of our loyal supporters over the last 20 years. *Jerry Hobbs* PLP President

Membership Supported-All Volunteer-No Salaries-501c3 Non-Profit Organization

Dues \$35 single - \$50 family - \$100 organizations

Suction Dredging does not include the following activities.

Quote from the DFG's Notice of Preparation and Initial Study

<http://www.dfg.ca.gov/suctiondredge/docs/SuctionDredge-IS-NOP-20091025.pdf>

Against this backdrop, for purposes of this Initial Study and the SEIR, key constituent parts of a suction dredge include the following:

- (1) A vacuum hose operating through the Venturi effect which removes sediment from the bottom of the stream;
- (2) A motor-driven pump; and
- (3) A sluice box.

The following, in turn, is an incomplete list of activities that are not considered suction dredging for purposes of the Proposed Program, as they are not subject to the Department's permitting authority under Fish and Game Code section 5653, subdivision (b). However, other permits or authorizations from the Department may be required, including in some instances a Lake or Streambed Alteration Agreement pursuant to Fish and Game Code section 1600 et seq. (See also Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, § 228, subd. (f).)

- Use of non-motorized (e.g., hand-powered) suction dredging equipment;
- High-banking outside of the existing water line;
- Sluicing or panning for gold;
- Use of a suction dredge with its intake pipe removed but still using a pump to move water through the sluice box;
- Power sluicing for gold; and
- Use a suction dredge (e.g., cutterhead dredge) for the purposes of infrastructure maintenance, flood control, or navigational purposes.

There may be other methods of placer mining not captured by the above list

Bad News for the Western U.S. - BLM New Policy -

WASHINGTON— In an 11th hour move one day after Congress adjourned for the year, Department of Interior (DOI) Secretary Ken Salazar and Bureau of Land Management (BLM) officials announced a new policy allowing the BLM to designate public lands as de facto wilderness without the approval of states or Congress. The new policy, which overturns the Utah Wilderness Settlement Agreement, will allow the BLM to do an end-run around Congress by designating public lands as “Wild Lands” areas. These new areas would be subject to similar regulations and restrictions as that of wilderness areas. “Secretary Salazar’s decision to change the existing policy is a blatant attempt to usurp Congress’ role over public land management. The Constitution gives exclusive control of the public lands to Congress, and the only authority that the Executive branch agencies exercise over the federal lands is that which is delegated by Congress,” said Western Caucus Chairman Rob Bishop. “Make no mistake about it, this decision will seriously hinder domestic energy development and further contributes to the uncertainty and economic distress that continues to prevent the creation of new jobs in a region that has unduly suffered from this Administration’s radical policies. This is little more than an early Christmas present to the far left extremists who oppose the multiple use of our nation’s public lands.” Today’s announcement directly contradicts previous assurances Secretary Salazar gave to Congress that the Utah Wilderness Settlement Agreement is consistent with federal law, thus validating that agencies do not have the authority to create de facto wilderness. “It was only yesterday that President Obama spoke about the importance of cooperation. Yet today his administration has turned around and deliberately slapped western communities in the face. It has become commonplace that this Administration attempts to arbitrarily regulate that which they cannot successfully legislate. Unfortunately, this is the kind of doublespeak we have come to expect from this administration,” Bishop added. “In order to work cooperatively, we need to trust one another. The announcement today calls into question whether Secretary Salazar’s word can be trusted,” Bishop concluded. “I am concerned that today’s decision puts the prospects of future congressional designation of wilderness at serious risk.” ---

Judge says Delta pumping rules, meant to protect fish, are too restrictive

The same federal judge who helped set in motion protests in California's farm country when he ruled three years ago that Delta pumping limits were too lax to prevent fish from going extinct determined Tuesday the new regulations go too far the other way. In a sharply worded, 255-page decision, U.S. District Judge Oliver Wanger, of Fresno, concluded: "The public cannot afford sloppy science and uni-directional prescriptions that ignore California's water needs." He ordered regulators to rewrite significant portions of a permit for massive Delta pumps that deliver water to the Bay Area, San Joaquin Valley and Southern California. The permit sets out a host of rules that are meant to prevent Delta smelt from going extinct. The ruling, Birmingham said, would send the permit back to regulators for "

thorough overhaul. He ordered no immediate changes to the pumping regulations. His ruling appeared to echo a critique in March by a panel convened by the National Academy of Sciences that found the new Delta smelt permit -- along with a related permit to protect salmon, steelhead and green sturgeon -- was conceptually justified but inadequate in its specifics. Although he was more critical, Wanger, like the panel of scientists, determined regulators were correct to put limits on how hard the pumps could drive two Delta rivers to run in reverse. But both were critical of how government biologists justified the specific limits they laid out. Wanger ordered the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to adjust and better justify those limits. Similarly, a new restriction that requires more water to flow through the Delta in the fall to reduce salinity was justified but no scientific rationale was given for the specific salinity limits that were set. "It's disappointing and frustrating -- a lot of sound and fury -- but it might not be as bad as I thought and it might not be as good for the contractors as they think it is," said Doug Obegi, a staff attorney for the Natural Resources Defense Council who worked on the case. Biologists contend the pumps change the Delta's hydrodynamics in ways that foster undesirable weeds, fish, algae and clams and increase the exposure of fish to pollution. Wanger called those connections "unsupported."

The Royal Forests By Rep. Tom McClintock (R-Calif.) - 01/07/11 04:21 PM ET

Rep. Tom McClintock (R-Calif.) delivered the following remarks on the House floor on Friday:

Mr. Speaker: Much of my district comprises forests managed by the U.S. Forest Service. Over the last two years, I have received a growing volume of complaints protesting the increasingly exclusionary and elitist policies of this agency. These complaints charge the Forest Service, among other things, with:

- Imposing inflated fees that are forcing the abandonment of family cabins held for generations;
- Charging exorbitant new fees that are closing down long-established community events upon which many small and struggling mountain towns depend for tourism;
- Expelling long-standing grazing operations on specious grounds – causing damage both to the local economy and the federal government's revenues; and
- Obstructing the sound management of our forests through a policy that can only be described as benign neglect, creating both severe fire dangers and massive unemployment.

Practiced in the marketplace, we would renounce these tactics as predatory and abusive. In the public service sector, they are intolerable. Combined, these actions evince an ideologically driven hostility to the public's enjoyment of the public's land – and a clear intention to deny the public the responsible and sustainable use of that land. Most recently, the Forest Service has placed severe restrictions on vehicle access to the Plumas National Forest, despite volumes of public protests. Supervisor Bill Connelly, Chairman of the Butte County Board of Supervisors writes that "The restriction applies to such activities as: collecting firewood, retrieving game, loading or unloading horses or other livestock, and camping." He writes, "The National Forests are part of the local fabric. The roads within the National Forests are used by thousands of residents and visitors for transportation and recreation. These activities generate revenue for our rural communities, which are critical for their survival." This is not a small matter. The Forest Service now controls 193 million acres within our nation – a land area equivalent to the size of Texas. During the despotic eras of Norman and Plantagenet England, the Crown declared one third of the land area of Southern England to be the royal forest, the exclusive preserve of the monarch, his forestry officials and his favored aristocrats. The people of Britain were forbidden access to and enjoyment of these forests under harsh penalties. This exclusionary system became so despised by the people that in 1215, five clauses of the Magna Carta were devoted to redress of grievances that are hauntingly similar to those that are now flooding my office. Mr. Speaker, the attitude that now permeates the U.S. Forest Service from top to bottom is becoming far more reminiscent of the management of the royal forests during the autocracy of King John than of an agency that is supposed to encourage, welcome, facilitate and maximize the public's use of the public's land in a nation of free men and women. After all, that was the vision for the Forest Service set forth by its legendary founder, Gifford Pinchot in 1905: "to provide the greatest amount of good for the greatest amount of people in the long run." In May of 2009 and April of 2010, some of my California colleagues and I sent letters to the Forest Service expressing these concerns. I have also personally met with senior officials of that agency on several occasions in which I have referenced more than 500 specific complaints of Forest Service abuses received by my office. All that I have received to date from these officials are smarmy assurances that they will address these concerns – assurances that their own actions have belied at every turn. It is time for Congress to conduct a top-to-bottom review of the abuses by this increasingly unaccountable and elitist agency, to demand accountability for the damage it has done – and is doing – to our forests' health, to the public's trust, to the government's revenues and to the nation's economy – and to take whatever actions are necessary to restore an attitude of consumer-friendly public service which was Gifford Pinchot's original vision and for which the U.S. Forest Service was once renowned and respected.

More of limiting your access from public land

The Obama administration plans to reverse a Bush-era policy and make millions of undeveloped acres of land once again eligible for federal wilderness protection. Environmental activists have been pushing for the Obama administration to restore protections for potential wilderness areas. Salazar said the agency will review some 220

million acres of BLM land that's not currently under wilderness protection to see which should be given a new "Wild Lands" designation - a new first step for land awaiting a wilderness decision. Congress would decide whether those lands should be permanently protected, Salazar said. "This backdoor approach is intended to circumvent both the people who will be directly affected and Congress," said Washington Rep. Doc Hastings. These "Wild Lands" would be separate from Wilderness Study Areas that must be authorized by Congress. Wild Lands can be designated by the BLM after a public planning process and would be managed with protective measures detailed in a land use plan. Memos leaked in February revealed the Obama administration was considering 14 sites in nine states for possible presidential monument declarations. That included 2.5 million acres of northeastern Montana prairie land along with sites in Colorado, Utah, New Mexico, California, Nevada, Arizona, Oregon and Washington.


Eldorado Lawsuit is dismissed

The plaintiffs, [PLP and others] who are miners, challenged the United States Forest Service's 2008 "Travel Management Plan" for the El Dorado National Forest ("2008 Plan" and "ENF"). They filed suit against the United States Department of Agriculture, its subsidiary, the United States Forest Service ("Forest Service"), and four federal officials, alleging that the 2008 plan violated their rights of access for mining and prospecting activities by limiting motorized vehicle use of Forest Service roads in the ENF. Plaintiffs' original complaint, which challenged the 2008 Plan under twenty causes of action invoking several theories of liability, was dismissed primarily on jurisdictional grounds. Plaintiffs have since filed their first amended complaint ("FAC").---

OPERATION SUPER CANYON SWEEP - A PROJECT FROM CTUC INC.

On June 9th 2010 California Trails Users Coalition (CTUC) held our annual clean up of the San Gabriel Canyon. We had 160 volunteers present along with 50 plus men from County Fire Camp 19. We were again able to fill a forty cubic yard dumpster with all sorts of trash. The Off Roaders were able to clean up about ten acres and remark the crossings in their area and it will be open to the public in the very near future. We transported the volunteers by bus out to a number of areas and they were able to clean 15 miles of streambed. The folks from the FRFVC cleaned the West Fork and attacked many walls to remove the graffiti that had been painted on by some gang members. Our horseback team was able to collect trash from along the stream and after lunch they treated the kids to rides. The senior member of this troop was Bert Bonnett who is still riding at 100. This project has been performing this clean up for over 35 years and we always hope that this year will be the last year that we are need, but sadly so far that hasn't been the case. So when you hear from us about next year, please plan on joining us. Everyone gets a great t-shirt and we feed you and close out the day with a fantastic raffle. See you all next year. Barry Wetherby-Coordinator

EPA Tribal Mining Training.- EPA Region 10 has developed a training course targeted toward Tribes. The training course describes the mining process, environmental impacts from mining, and regulations and permitting process for mining operations. For more information, please contact Patty McGrath, (contact information above), or [Tami Fordham](mailto:fordham.tami@epa.gov) (fordham.tami@epa.gov, 907-271-1484). ---



Hooray for Kane Co., Utah Kane County, Utah, has just won another important victory in the ongoing dispute over control of roads across public lands. An en banc panel of the 10th Circuit Court of Appeals on Tuesday ruled in favor of Kane County and dealt a major blow to Utah wilderness groups fighting over who owns a handful of back roads in rural Kane County. Click [here](http://www.ca10.uscourts.gov/opinions/08/08-4090.pdf) www.ca10.uscourts.gov/opinions/08/08-4090.pdf to read the actual decision. The case arose from a Kane County ordinance that sought to assert the County's authority over RS 2477 rights of way within the Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument. The Court's decision marks a welcome reversal in favor of Kane County. Originally, the County requested that BLM remove closure signs on some of the asserted RS 2477 routes which the BLM had failed to designate as

open for use. Essentially attempting to stand in the legal shoes of the United States, the Wilderness Society and Southern Utah Wilderness Alliance filed suit and, in spite of the County's motion to dismiss, the preservationists won in the district court. Upon appeal of the district court's decision, a three-judge panel of the 10th Circuit Court affirmed the district court's decision, but also voted to rehear the case en banc or full bench. In the en banc hearing, the majority decision remarkably reverses the district court's decision and directs the district court to dismiss their case. The decision, which turns on technical legal questions involving "prudential standing," was joined by six of the eleven judges, with three judges concurring in the result. The remaining two judges vigorously dissented, claiming the decision "grants, and every other state and local government in the circuit a green light to flout federal law." The decision addresses many RS 2477 issues and other procedural matters that may have broader implications in public lands litigation.

Why Join The PLP?

Where do you think you would be today had there been no one out there fighting for your rights? If you and I don't care enough to make the case for our rights, who will? Public Lands for the People has been working tirelessly for 19 years with land managers, politicians and other user groups to ensure that you get to use public lands where and when you want. We have had many successes and you have benefited. We can promise you the other side is always making the case that mining and prospecting is noisy, polluting, and the source of conflicts. They want us in smaller and smaller areas with fewer opportunities to access the public lands and backcountry. Ultimately, they want us off public lands altogether. This is your fight whether you like it or not. Doesn't it make sense to join and support others who are taking their time and money to protect your rights and opportunities? There is plenty you can do with \$35.00 other than join another organization. You could buy a couple gallons of gas, a drink, and a bag of popcorn at a movie or simply save it for that proverbial rainy day that Mom said would eventually come. However, if you value the preservation of your rights of the public to access, use, and enjoy our public lands, and you want to protect that right for yourself, family, friends, and future generations, you want to reconsider where that \$35.00 would be best put to use. You could do all of those things or none of them; it is your choice because it is your 35 bucks. Doesn't it make sense to equip those who are fighting for you with the resources needed for them to have a chance at success? Doesn't it make sense for you to invest \$35 in the Public Lands for the People?

Yes, it really does !!



WHO IS PLP?

PLP was constructed for the purpose of representing all outdoor user groups and individuals that are interested in keeping public and private lands open to prospecting, mining, and outdoor recreation on a non-discriminatory basis! PLP assists groups to join forces to maintain the laws and rights of all citizens on public and private lands (Federal, State and City). PLP will assist with representation at public hearings of government agencies that are proposing limitations and restrictions on the lands that belong to the people.

PLP DOES LITIGATE! We will file injunctions, when necessary, to prevent governmental agencies from discussing public issues behind closed doors and calling them "personnel meetings".

We will come to the aid of, and give individual attention to, persons or groups who are being harassed, intimidated or misled by people in authority (in or out of uniform) who are attempting to enforce their own ideas or opinions of laws, rules or regulations, rather than the actual laws, rules or regulations, and what it actually means.

Remember....

PUBLIC LANDS FOR THE PEOPLE

means....

Our **right** to Use Public Lands,
Not to Abuse Public Lands.

What does PLP do for you?

1. PLP is pursuing a lawsuit charging California for illegally passing a bill (SB670) into law prohibiting suction dredge mining.
 2. PLP filed a lawsuit against Eldorado National Forest for their illegal closures of over 2200 miles of roads in their Travel Management Plan (TMP). PLP is also making comments in several other forests on their TMP.
 3. PLP is in court fighting the California Department of Fish and Game who has violated a Court order by failing to do an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) on suction dredging in California.
 4. PLP assists on many individual issues that concern small-scale miners.
 5. PLP assists miners in other states, and those-state representatives.
 6. PLP makes formal comments on the Federal Register (FR) for the US Forest Service (USFS) road closures, trying to cite miners criminally, & rule making changes.
 7. PLP assists miner filing "takings" case against BLM for taking house & claims. BLM donated away leaving miner as legal owner/claimant & taxpayer w/o due process or compensation. Miner prevailed
 8. PLP and the miners prevailed in Siskiyou Regional Education Project (SREP) vs. U.S. Forest Service when the USFS attempted to force dredgers into a Plan of Operation.
 9. PLP was a party to 2 lawsuits in the Center for Biological Diversity (CBD), vs. USFS and CBD vs. the BLM. PLP and the miners prevailed
 10. PLP and Dee Stapp prevailed in a lawsuit against the BLM on separating bond issues of occupancy and casual use mining operations.
 11. PLP participated in the Washington, Oregon, and California Water Resources Board study on turbidity and mercury as a method to stop suction dredging and similar issues there.
 12. PLP assisted with Washington State Resources Coalition and others in the rule making process on suction dredging.
 13. Made legal & scientific comments & was instrumental in defeat of CA Bill AB 1032.
- PLP strives to be involved with issues that affect the small-scale mining community. We would not be able to continue these battles without the past support of its membership.

MEMBERSHIP APPLICATION

SINGLE \$35.00 FAMILY \$50.00 CLUBS / ORG / BUSINESSES \$100.00

NAME (Please Print) _____

ADDRESS _____

CITY / STATE / ZIP _____

PHONE-Home () _____ Work () _____ FAX () _____

E Mail _____

Club(s) Affiliation _____

Please accept my enrollment as a member of **PLP**. Enclosed is my annual dues donation of _____. I will receive a membership card, the Sentry Post Newsletter, and access to our assistance network

NEW RENEWING I've enclosed an additional donation of _____ to help keep our Public Lands open.

Would you be willing to help with: (An excellent way to learn too!)

Circle several

Clean up Projects....Write a letter to Officials & Govt. Agencies.... Serve as a Board memberPoint of Contact for your club

Assist in Membership Drives and Fund-raisers....Serve on a Committee..... Place Phone Calls.... Type....

Attend USFS, BLM and DFG Meetings & Hearings.... Research Activities....Legal research or composition

Signature _____ Date _____

MAIL TO PLP c/o
Barry Wetherby
3700 Santa Carlotta
La Crescent, Ca. 91214

bhwetherby@aol.com

818-957-1455 ---

PLP Raffle Winners Dec. 2010

Thank you for all of your support Jerry

1. Keene 3" Power Sluice and Dredge Combo \$3000.00

Winner is: A P Waters, Security, Colo.

Donated by: Keene Enineering

2. Whites GMT Metal Detector \$ 800.00

Winner is: John T. Sellers, Marysville, Ca.

Donated by: Whites Detectors of Ca.

3. Tesoro Lobo Metal Detector \$ 800.00

Winner is: Dan Hillery, Ca.

Donated by: Tesoro Detectors & Jobe Mining Supply

4. 1/4 Kart Diamond & Gold Necklace \$ 700.00

Winner is: Don Clark, Alturas, Ca.

Anonymous Donor

5. 3 Day Gold Trip in Oregon \$ 500.00

Winner is: Richard Kash, Riverside, Ca.

Donated by Dave Ratan

6. Deluxe Blue Bowl Kit \$ 250.00

Winner is: Tom Gibson, Fairacres, N.M.

Donated by: Pioneer Mining Supply

7. 1 Year Membership, Golden Caribou Mining \$ 250

Winner is: George Haymans, Natchez, Miss.

Donated by: Barry & Gordon Burton

8. 1 Year Membership, Golden Carabou Mining \$ 250

Winner is: John Laffey, Livingston, Texas

Donated by: Barry & Gordon Burton

9. Columbia Amber Lightbox \$ 250.00

Winner is: Eugene Knuttila, Fernley, Nv.

Donated by: Guy Nesbit

10. Falcon Pin Pointer Detector \$ 225.00

Winner is: Francis Fehlner, Corning, N.Y.

Donated by: Armadillo Mining Shop

11. Pair of Gold Nugget Ear Rings \$ 100.00

Winner is: Francis Fehlner, Corning, N. Y.

Donated by: Scott Warner, Golden Dreams

12. Crystalline Gold Tie Tack \$ 100.00

Winner is: Ron White, Shandon, Ca.

Donated by:: Scott Warner, Golden Dreams

13. Amethyst Cathedral \$ 100.00

Winner is: Luis Tijerina, Brownwood, Tx.

Donated by: Scott Warner, Golden Dreams

14. Keene A-51 Sluice Box \$ 100.00

Winner is: Phil Bernhardt, Brookings, Or.

Donated by: Keene Enineering

15. 2 oz. Silver Round \$ 60.00

Winner is: Clifford Williams, Grants Pass, Or.

Donated by: George Corsis/ Gold/ Silver enterprises

YOU ARE MISSING THE ABILITY TO CLICK ON THE LINKS IN THE EMAIL VERSION, SAVE US MONEY & WORK. You can view the online version at www.plp2.org/forum/ ... then select "Sentry"

FREE Natl Park Days

January 12, 2011 – The National Park Service has announced Fee-Free days for 2011. The program provides several fee free days throughout the year at more than 100 national parks that usually charge entrance fees. The Fee-Free Days program was started in 2009 by U.S. Secretary of the Interior Ken Salazar to help Americans visit public lands in our National Parks during the economic downturn.

The dates are:

- January 15-17 - Martin Luther King, Jr. Birthday weekend
- April 16-24 - National Park Week
- June 21 - First day of summer
- September 24 - Public Lands Day
- November 11 - Veterans Day

Many national park concessions will also offer discounts on Fee-Free days, saving visitors money on food, lodging, tours and souvenirs. More information is available at <http://www.nps.gov/findapark/feefreeparks.htm>.



Cut this out and save for when a government agent challenges you.

Suggested Challenge Procedure

While pursuing your outdoor endeavor of work, play, recreation or sport and you are challenged by a representative of a governmental Agency or Public Servant, regarding the legality of your activity in their area, you should **DO THE FOLLOWING:**

1. It is wise to politely ask if you are breaking any laws. If you are informed that you are breaking, then ask for an explanation of the law and any municipal code that pertain to the law.
2. You should do as you are asked by the government representative, then ask for their name, badge number, position and the agency and district the represent. Record this information along with the date, time and the location of the area you are in.
3. If you have a pleasant response from any of the agents, such as being informative, cooperative or helpful, we would like to hear about them.
4. Give this information to your local PLP office or representative and he or she will advise you of the appropriate procedure to follow.

...Preserving Public Land Use for the People...

Name _____
 Badge # _____ Position _____
 Agency & _____
 District _____
 Date _____ Time _____: _____ ^{AM}/_{PM} Location Detail _____

Compliments of:

P.L.P.

Public Lands For The People
 A 501 (C) (3) Non-Profit Corporation
 7194 Conejo Dr.
 San Bernadino, CA 92404
 (909) 889-3039
www.PLP2.org



Preserving Public Land Use for the People

YOU ARE MISSING THE ABILITY TO CLICK ON THE LINKS IN THE EMAIL VERSION, SAVE US MONEY & WORK. You can view the online version at www.plp2.org/forum/ ... then select "Sentry"

PUBLIC LANDS FOR THE PEOPLE INC.
3700 SANTA CARLOTTA ST.
La CRESCENTA, CA 91214-1048

NONPROFIT ORG.
US POSTAGE PAID
GLEN DORA, CA 91741
PERMIT # 158

We are utilizing your donations and support to send you this hard copy.
Won't you send us your email address to save that \$ editor@plp1.org

CHANGE SERVICE REQUESTED

Table of Contents

Raffle – Prez Sez	Front
Activities other than Suction Dredging.	2
BLM New Policy	2
Delta Pumping Rules Too Restrictive	2
Royal Forests – Tom McClintock	3
Obama government limiting your access	3
Eldorado lawsuit dismissed	4
Super Canyon Sweep	4
EPA Tribal Mining Training by EPA	4
Kane Co. keeps roads open	4
Who We Are & What We Do	5
Application – Raffle winners	6
Free Natl Park days & Orange Challenge card	7
Table of Contents - Supporters	Back



<http://www.icmj.com/>



[In appreciation for all the donations made to PLP](#)

[JIM STRAIGHT Publications](#)
[19225 Mesa St., Rialto, CA 92377](#)



**DRI-WASH-'N-GUARD
PRODUCTS**
Ginna & Barry Wetherby
1-888-368-7685



[Legal Representations by the Law Offices of](#)
[DAVID YOUNG](#)
[11150 Olympic Blvd, Ste 1050](#)
[Los Angeles, CA 90064-1840](#)
[\(310\) 575-0308](#)